

FEBRUARY 2026



# CCCS Recommended Cyber Security Contract

**Vendor Contract Risk Management  
Checklist for Canadian SMEs**

.....

A readiness tool for vendor risk assessments, supply-chain security, and contract negotiation

**Prepared by Datarisk Canada**

Based on 'Recommended cyber security contract clauses for cloud services' by the Canadian Center of Cyber Security



## Table of Contents

1. [Purpose and intended audience](#)
2. [When to use this checklist](#)
3. [How to use this checklist in real vendor engagements](#)
4. [The 80/20 priorities](#)
  - a. [4.1 The Core 12 \(first 30–60 minutes\)](#)
  - b. [4.2 Stop/Go triggers and escalation](#)
5. [Fast contract navigation](#)
6. [Practical playbook when terms are hard to negotiate](#)
7. [Documentation and evidence standards](#)
8. [Glossary](#)
9. [Appendix](#)
  - a. [CSP Audit - Prioritized List](#)
  - b. [Full CSP Audit List](#)

The **Canadian Centre for Cyber Security (the Cyber Centre)** is part of the Communications Security Establishment Canada. It is the single unified source of expert advice, guidance, services and support on cyber security for Canadians.

## 1. Purpose and intended audience

This document is intended to build upon the guidance of the Cyber Centre with a check-list based exercise. It also helps Canadian small and mid-size enterprises (SMEs) manage cybersecurity and privacy risk when contracting with:

- **Cloud Service Providers (CSPs)**
- **Managed Security Service Providers (MSSPs)**
- **AI vendors (including SaaS products that embed AI features)**

It is designed for **IT leads and compliance leads** who are responsible for vendor onboarding, renewal, and vendor risk assessment. It converts common contract risk areas into **clear, prescriptive questions** that can be used to:

- Find and assess contract language
- Identify gaps
- Negotiate targeted improvements
- Document risk acceptance when necessary.

## 2. When to use this checklist

Use this checklist in any of the following situations:

### **New vendors (pre-signature)**

- RFP/RFI, procurement reviews, onboarding security reviews
- Contract negotiations (MSA + SOW + DPA + security exhibit)

### **Existing vendors (post-signature)**

- Renewal, scope expansion, migration, or new data types/workloads
- After an incident, near miss, or audit finding
- When the vendor adds AI features, new subprocessors, or new regions

### **Ongoing vendor governance**

- Annual/biannual vendor risk reviews
- Evidence collection for audits, insurance, or customer due diligence

### 3. How to use this checklist in real vendor engagements

**Datarisk Canada recommends the following workflow:**

1. **Confirm the vendor type(s):** CSP, MSSP, AI vendor (many are multiple).
2. **Gather the complete contract stack:** MSA, SOW, DPA, security exhibit, acceptable use policy, and any incorporated online terms.
3. **Run the Core 12 first** ([Section 4.1](#)).
4. **Record evidence beside each answer** (clause references, URLs, exhibit names, or screenshots).
5. **Classify outcomes:**
  - Meets: clear, enforceable, aligned with your needs
  - Partial: present but unclear, limited, or requires a paid tier
  - No: absent or unacceptable
  - N/A: not applicable to this vendor/service
6. **Resolve gaps by approach:**
  - Contract edits (preferred for critical risks)
  - Security schedule addendum / DPA updates
  - SOW commitments (often negotiable even when MSAs are rigid)
  - Compensating controls (when negotiation is not feasible)
7. **Escalate Stop/Go triggers to executive sign-off** ([Section 4.2](#)).

## 4. The 80/20 priorities

### 4.1 The Core 12 (first 30–60 minutes)

These are the areas that most often drive real-world harm: unauthorized data use, delayed incident response, opaque third parties, lock-in, and one-way liability. If time and negotiation leverage are limited, start here.

#### Data control and misuse prevention

- ☐ **Data use limitation:** Is the vendor restricted to using your data only to deliver the service (no secondary use)?
- ☐ **AI training prohibition (opt-in only):** Is the vendor prohibited from using your data to train or improve AI models unless you explicitly opt in?
- ☐ **Derived/inferred data included:** Do restrictions also cover derived/inferred data (e.g., telemetry insights, embeddings, model artifacts)?
- ☐ **Encryption:** Does the contract require encryption at rest and in transit, and address protection during processing where applicable?

#### Incident survivability

- ☐ **Incident/outage notice timelines:** Are notice timelines defined in hours (not “promptly”)?
- ☐ **Log access:** Do you have contractual access to relevant logs for investigation (including during incidents)?
- ☐ **Vulnerability/patch disclosure:** Does the vendor commit to disclosing vulnerabilities and patch status relevant to your service?
- ☐ **Recovery commitments:** Are recovery objectives and responsibilities defined (e.g., RTO/RPO, restore processes, and support during outages/incidents)?

#### Third-party risk control

- ☐ **Sub processor disclosure:** Are subcontractors/sub processors disclosed (at least for material services)?
- ☐ **Flow-down obligations:** Are the vendor’s security/privacy obligations contractually flowed down to sub processors?

## Commercial/legal terms that decide your exposure

- ☐ **Liability/indemnity fairness:** Do the liability and indemnity terms avoid shifting the vendor's security failures onto you?
- ☐ **Exit + deletion proof:** Are exit processes defined (export formats, timelines, costs) and is deletion verifiable (including backups/replicas)?



**Figure 1.** The 80/20 Priorities: CORE 12 visual from CSEC Recommended Cyber Security Contract (Datarisk Canada)

## 4.2 Stop/Go triggers and escalation

The following are treated as Stop/Go items for most SMEs. If any are missing or unacceptable, Datarisk Canada recommends executive sign-off and a documented mitigation plan, or selecting an alternate vendor.

- ☐ Vendor can use your data (or derived data) beyond service delivery, including AI training, without your explicit opt-in.
- ☐ Incident notification and investigation support are vague, delayed, or contingent on additional fees.
- ☐ Subprocessors are opaque and obligations do not flow down.
- ☐ Liability/indemnities create one-way exposure (you carry the vendor's risk), or remedies are effectively meaningless for security incidents.
- ☐ Exit is punitive or unclear (high lock-in risk), or deletion cannot be verified.



**Figure 2.** The 80/20 Priorities: Stop/Go Triggers and Escalation visual from CSEC Recommended Cyber Security Contract (Datarisk Canada)

## 5. Fast contract navigation

Vendor contract terms are often scattered across multiple documents. Use a fast scan approach (quickly surveying a broad range of IPs or ports with lightweight scanning tools and default settings) before deep review:

### Search terms to find the relevant clauses quickly:

- ☐ **Data:** "Customer Data", "Confidential Information", "metadata", "telemetry", "derived", "inferred", "analytics", "improve"
- ☐ **AI:** "machine learning", "model", "training", "fine-tuning", "embedding", "LLM"  
Incidents: "Security Incident", "breach", "notification", "cooperate", "forensics", "incident response"
- ☐ **Evidence:** "logs", "audit logs", "retention", "monitoring", "SIEM"
- ☐ **Third parties:** "subprocessor", "subcontractor", "affiliate", "third party"
- ☐ **Liability:** "indemnify", "indemnification", "limitation of liability", "liability cap", "consequential"
- ☐ **Exit:** "termination", "return of data", "export", "deletion", "destroy", "survival"
- ☐ **Residency:** "region", "location", "data residency", "transfer", "cross-border"

## 6. Practical playbook when terms are hard to negotiate

Many scaled SaaS vendors and hyperscalers have limited flexibility in their MSAs. This checklist supports a realistic approach: negotiate where feasible, and apply compensating controls where necessary.

### If data use terms are broad ("we may use data to improve services")

- ☐ Restrict data categories: avoid uploading sensitive data unless necessary.
- ☐ Disable optional training/telemetry features where available and document the configuration.
- ☐ Segment workloads so high-sensitivity systems use vendors with stronger commitments.
- ☐ Require written clarification of what "improve services" means and what is excluded.

### If incident response language is vague ("promptly", "as required by law")

- ☐ Secure a SOW addendum with hours-based notice, log access, and cooperation obligations.
- ☐ Purchase the tier that includes logs and incident support if this is the only viable route.
- ☐ Require an escalation path and a named incident response contact process.

**If liability is capped too low or remedies are “service credits only”**

- ☐ Treat the service as unsuitable for high-sensitivity workloads.
- ☐ Reduce blast radius (limit integration depth, limit stored data).
- ☐ Document risk acceptance and ensure internal contingency planning is proportionate.

**If subprocessors are opaque**

- ☐ Require a published subprocessor list and change notifications.
- ☐ If not available, restrict use to low-risk data/workloads or select an alternative vendor

## 7. Documentation and evidence standards

**For each checklist item, record:**

- ☐ the document (MSA/DPA/SOW/Exhibit/Policy),
- ☐ the clause number and title (or URL + version/date),
- ☐ the exact limitation/commitment, and
- ☐ any conditions (e.g., “paid tier only”, “upon request”, “best efforts”, “sole discretion”).













**A strong vendor assessment file allows your organization to:**

- ☐ justify risk acceptance decisions,
- ☐ support audits and insurance applications, and
- ☐ respond faster during incidents.




## 8. Glossary







- ☐ **MSA:** Master Services Agreement or equivalent main contract framework.
- ☐ **SOW:** Statement of Work; typically where scope, SLAs, and operational commitments live.
- ☐ **DPA:** Data Processing Addendum; often governs personal information handling and subprocessors.
- ☐ **Security Exhibit:** Technical and security commitments (logging, encryption, IR, controls).
- ☐ **Policy:** Online terms incorporated by reference (acceptable use, security policy, subprocessor list).
- ☐ **Derived/Inferred Data:** Data created from your usage (analytics, telemetry insights, embeddings, model artifacts) that can still create confidentiality and privacy risk.

## CSP Audit (Prioritized List)







Priority	Group	Section	Question	Meets	Partial	No	N/A	Where to find it	Notes / Evidence (Clause reference, URL, page, screenshot)
	CORE 12	B3.1	Data use limitation: Does the contract prohibit the vendor from using our data except to deliver the service?						
	CORE 12	J1.1	AI training restriction: Does the contract prohibit using our data to train/improve vendor AI/models unless we explicitly opt in?						
	CORE 12	J1.2	Derived data AI restriction: Does the prohibition also cover derived/inferred data and telemetry-based learning?						
	CORE 12	B1.1	Encryption: Does the contract require encryption at rest and in transit?						
	CORE 12	F1.1	Notification timelines: Are incident/outage notification timelines defined (not just "promptly")?						
	CORE 12	F2.1	Log access: Will we get access to relevant logs needed for investigation?						
	CORE 12	F2.2	Vulnerability/patch disclosure: Must the vendor disclose relevant vulnerabilities and patches?						
	CORE 12	F3.1	Recovery targets: Are recovery targets defined (e.g., RTO/RPO or equivalent) and enforceable?						
	CORE 12	D1.1	Subcontractor disclosure: Does the vendor disclose subcontractors/subprocessors and critical suppliers?						
	CORE 12	D1.2	Flow-down: Do the vendor's security/privacy obligations flow down to subcontractors?						
	CORE 12	K2.1	Liability/indemnity clarity: Are liability and indemnification terms clear and do they avoid shifting the vendor's security failures onto us?						
	CORE 12	K4.1	Exit & deletion proof: Are exit steps defined (export support + verifiable deletion, including backups) with timelines/costs?						

## CSP Audit (Prioritized List)

Priority	Group	Section	Question	Meets	Partial	No	N/A	Where to find it	Notes / Evidence (Clause reference, URL, page, screenshot)
	Full Checklist	A1.1	Service model: Does the contract clearly state whether the service is IaaS / PaaS / SaaS (or MSSP/AI) and what that means for responsibility boundaries?						
	Full Checklist	A1.2	Responsibility matrix: Is there a contractually binding "who does what" matrix (not just marketing material)?						
	Full Checklist	A2.1	Accountability: Does the contract explicitly acknowledge that we remain accountable for our data even if the vendor hosts/processes it?						






Priority	Group	Section	Question	Meets	Partial	No	N/A	Where to find it	Notes / Evidence (Clause reference, URL, page, screenshot)
	Full Checklist	B1.2	Processing protection: Does it address protection during processing (not only storage/transport)?						
	Full Checklist	B2.1	Vendor staff access limits: Are vendor personnel access restrictions defined (need-to-know, RBAC, privileged controls)?						
	Full Checklist	B2.2	Auditability: Are vendor access events logged and auditable?						
	Full Checklist	B3.2	Derived/inferred data: Does it explicitly restrict vendor use of inferred/derived data (e.g., embeddings, telemetry insights, model artefacts) as well?						
	Full Checklist	B4.1	Secure deletion: Are secure deletion obligations defined (including backups/replicas) and verifiable?						
	Full Checklist	B4.2	Recovery: Are recovery processes defined (what is recoverable, timelines, and conditions)?						




## CSP Audit (Prioritized List)

Priority	Group	Section	Question	Meets	Partial	No	N/A	Where to find it	Notes / Evidence (Clause reference, URL, page, screenshot)
	Full Checklist	C1.1	Approved regions: Does the contract specify approved geographic regions where data will be stored/processed?						
	Full Checklist	C1.2	All data types: Does residency apply to production data, logs, metadata, backups, and derived data (explicitly)?						
	Full Checklist	C2.1	Data movement: Must the vendor notify and obtain approval before moving data outside approved regions?						
	Full Checklist	C3.1	Verification: Does the vendor provide transparency/tooling to verify data location?						
	Full Checklist	C4.1	Exit affordability: Are data exit/migration options affordable and practical (anti-lock-in)?						
	Full Checklist	C4.2	Exit details: Are export formats, timelines, assistance, and costs defined?						






Priority	Group	Section	Question	Meets	Partial	No	N/A	Where to find it	Notes / Evidence (Clause reference, URL, page, screenshot)
	Full Checklist	D2.1	Supply chain risk plan: Does the vendor maintain a supply chain risk management plan and support our assessments?						
	Full Checklist	D2.2	Foreign ownership/sourcing: Does it address risks related to foreign ownership and hardware/firmware/software sourcing?						





## CSP Audit (Prioritized List)

Priority	Group	Section	Question	Meets	Partial	No	N/A	Where to find it	Notes / Evidence (Clause reference, URL, page, screenshot)
	Full Checklist	E1.1	MFA: Is multi-factor authentication required for relevant access?						
	Full Checklist	E1.2	Least privilege: Are role-based and least-privilege controls required?						
	Full Checklist	E2.1	Privileged access: Is privileged access management required and auditable?						
	Full Checklist	E3.1	Federation controls: Are restrictions/conditions on identity federation defined?						
	Full Checklist	E3.2	Backdoor/API controls: Are there controls to prevent backdoors or unauthorized API access?						





Priority	Group	Section	Question	Meets	Partial	No	N/A	Where to find it	Notes / Evidence (Clause reference, URL, page, screenshot)
	Full Checklist	F1.2	Customer obligations support: Does the vendor commit to notifying us fast enough to meet our legal/regulatory obligations?						
	Full Checklist	F3.2	IR coordination: Is incident coordination defined (roles, communications, handoffs)?						
	Full Checklist	F3.3	24/7 monitoring (if critical): For regulated/critical services, is 24/7 monitoring and formal IR support required?						


## CSP Audit (Prioritized List)




Priority	Group	Section	Question	Meets	Partial	No	N/A	Where to find it	Notes / Evidence (Clause reference, URL, page, screenshot)
	Full Checklist	G1.1	Continuous monitoring: Does the contract require continuous threat/vulnerability monitoring?						
	Full Checklist	G1.2	Logging requirements: Are key security/access events logged with retention/access/format defined?						
	Full Checklist	G2.1	Scanning & pen testing: Are regular vulnerability scanning and penetration testing commitments included?						
	Full Checklist	G3.1	DoS resilience: Are protections against denial-of-service attacks included?						
	Full Checklist	G4.1	Reporting: Does the vendor report security posture/performance metrics on a defined schedule?						

Priority	Group	Section	Question	Meets	Partial	No	N/A	Where to find it	Notes / Evidence (Clause reference, URL, page, screenshot)
	Full Checklist	H1.1	Patching timelines: Are timely patching obligations defined (ideally severity-based)?						
	Full Checklist	H2.1	Known issue disclosure: Must the vendor disclose known security issues affecting the service?						
	Full Checklist	H3.1	Open-source risk: Does the vendor manage open-source software risks (SBOM/SCA expectations if applicable)?						
	Full Checklist	H4.1	Security-impacting changes: Must the vendor provide advance notice of changes that affect security?						



## CSP Audit (Prioritized List)

Priority	Group	Section	Question	Meets	Partial	No	N/A	Where to find it	Notes / Evidence (Clause reference, URL, page, screenshot)
	Full Checklist	I1.1	Privacy risk management: Does the vendor support privacy risks being assessed and managed (as applicable)?						
	Full Checklist	I2.1	Personnel screening: Are vendor staff appropriately screened for privileged roles?						
	Full Checklist	I3.1	Physical security: Are physical access controls/monitoring for data centres addressed?						
	Full Checklist	I4.1	Retention & destruction: Are retention and destruction obligations clearly defined and verifiable?						

Priority	Group	Section	Question	Meets	Partial	No	N/A	Where to find it	Notes / Evidence (Clause reference, URL, page, screenshot)
	Full Checklist	J2.1	Cryptographic agility: Does the contract require cryptographic agility (ability to upgrade crypto as threats evolve)?						

Priority	Group	Section	Question	Meets	Partial	No	N/A	Where to find it	Notes / Evidence (Clause reference, URL, page, screenshot)
	Full Checklist	K1.1	IP ownership clarity: Does the contract clearly state who owns our data, outputs, vendor tools, and any joint artefacts?						
	Full Checklist	K1.2	Confidentiality/trade secrets: Are trade secret and confidentiality protections clearly defined?						
	Full Checklist	K2.2	Customer indemnities: Do we avoid broad customer indemnities that make us responsible for vendor failures?						

# CSP Audit (Prioritized List)

Priority	Group	Section	Question	Meets	Partial	No	N/A	Where to find it	Notes / Evidence (Clause reference, URL, page, screenshot)
	Full Checklist	K2.3	Liability caps: Do caps/exclusions avoid gutting remedies for incidents, confidentiality breaches, and security failures?						
	Full Checklist	K3.1	Support model/offshore access: If offshore access exists, is it restricted, approved, and auditable?						

# Complete CSP Audit

Section	Question	Meets	Partial	No	N/A	Notes
A1.1	Service model: Does the contract clearly state whether the service is IaaS / PaaS / SaaS (or MSSP/AI) and what that means for responsibility boundaries?					
A1.2	Responsibility matrix: Is there a contractually binding "who does what" matrix (not just marketing material)?					
A2.1	Accountability: Does the contract explicitly acknowledge that we remain accountable for our data even if the vendor hosts/processes it?					

Section	Question	Meets	Partial	No	N/A	Notes
B1.1	Encryption: Does the contract require encryption at rest and in transit?					
B1.2	Processing protection: Does it address protection during processing (not only storage/transport)?					
B2.1	Vendor staff access limits: Are vendor personnel access restrictions defined (need-to-know, RBAC, privileged controls)?					
B2.2	Auditability: Are vendor access events logged and auditable?					
B3.1	Data use limitation: Does the contract prohibit the vendor from using our data except to deliver the service?					
B3.2	Derived/inferred data: Does it explicitly restrict vendor use of inferred/derived data (e.g., embeddings, telemetry insights, model artefacts) as well?					
B4.1	Secure deletion: Are secure deletion obligations defined (including backups/replicas) and verifiable?					
B4.2	Recovery: Are recovery processes defined (what is recoverable, timelines, and conditions)?					

## Complete CSP Audit

Section	Question	Meets	Partial	No	N/A	Notes
C1.1	Approved regions: Does the contract specify approved geographic regions where data will be stored/processed?					
C1.2	All data types: Does residency apply to production data, logs, metadata, backups, and derived data (explicitly)?					
C2.1	Data movement: Must the vendor notify and obtain approval before moving data outside approved regions?					
C3.1	Verification: Does the vendor provide transparency/tooling to verify data location?					
C4.1	Exit affordability: Are data exit/migration options affordable and practical (anti-lock-in)?					
C4.2	Exit details: Are export formats, timelines, assistance, and costs defined?					

Section	Question	Meets	Partial	No	N/A	Notes
D1.1	Subcontractor disclosure: Does the vendor disclose subcontractors/subprocessors and critical suppliers?					
D1.2	Flow-down: Do the vendor's security/privacy obligations flow down to subcontractors?					
D2.1	Supply chain risk plan: Does the vendor maintain a supply chain risk management plan and support our assessments?					
D2.2	Foreign ownership/sourcing: Does it address risks related to foreign ownership and hardware/firmware/software sourcing?					

Section	Question	Meets	Partial	No	N/A	Notes
E1.1	MFA: Is multi-factor authentication required for relevant access?					
E1.2	Least privilege: Are role-based and least-privilege controls required?					

# Complete CSP Audit

Section	Question	Meets	Partial	No	N/A	Notes
E2.1	Privileged access: Is privileged access management required and auditable?					
E3.1	Federation controls: Are restrictions/conditions on identity federation defined?					
E3.2	Backdoor/API controls: Are there controls to prevent backdoors or unauthorized API access?					

Section	Question	Meets	Partial	No	N/A	Notes
F1.1	Notification timelines: Are incident/outage notification timelines defined (not just "promptly")?					
F1.2	Customer obligations support: Does the vendor commit to notifying us fast enough to meet our legal/regulatory obligations?					
F2.1	Log access: Will we get access to relevant logs needed for investigation?					
F2.2	Vulnerability/patch disclosure: Must the vendor disclose relevant vulnerabilities and patches?					
F3.1	Recovery targets: Are recovery targets defined (e.g., RTO/RPO or equivalent) and enforceable?					
F3.2	IR coordination: Is incident coordination defined (roles, communications, handoffs)?					
F3.3	24/7 monitoring (if critical): For regulated/critical services, is 24/7 monitoring and formal IR support required?					

Section	Question	Meets	Partial	No	N/A	Notes
G1.1	Continuous monitoring: Does the contract require continuous threat/vulnerability monitoring?					
G1.2	Logging requirements: Are key security/access events logged with retention/access/format defined?					

## Complete CSP Audit

Section	Question	Meets	Partial	No	N/A	Notes
G2.1	Scanning & pen testing: Are regular vulnerability scanning and penetration testing commitments included?					
G3.1	DoS resilience: Are protections against denial-of-service attacks included?					
G4.1	Reporting: Does the vendor report security posture/performance metrics on a defined schedule?					

Section	Question	Meets	Partial	No	N/A	Notes
H1.1	Patching timelines: Are timely patching obligations defined (ideally severity-based)?					
H2.1	Known issue disclosure: Must the vendor disclose known security issues affecting the service?					
H3.1	Open-source risk: Does the vendor manage open-source software risks (SBOM/SCA expectations if applicable)?					
H4.1	Security-impacting changes: Must the vendor provide advance notice of changes that affect security?					

Section	Question	Meets	Partial	No	N/A	Notes
I1.1	Privacy risk management: Does the vendor support privacy risks being assessed and managed (as applicable)?					
I2.1	Personnel screening: Are vendor staff appropriately screened for privileged roles?					
I3.1	Physical security: Are physical access controls/monitoring for data centres addressed?					
I4.1	Retention & destruction: Are retention and destruction obligations clearly defined and verifiable?					

## Complete CSP Audit

Section	Question	Meets	Partial	No	N/A	Notes
J1.1	AI training restriction: Does the contract prohibit using our data to train/improve vendor AI/models unless we explicitly opt in?					
J1.2	Derived data AI restriction: Does the prohibition also cover derived/inferred data and telemetry-based learning?					
J2.1	Cryptographic agility: Does the contract require cryptographic agility (ability to upgrade crypto as threats evolve)?					

Section	Question	Meets	Partial	No	N/A	Notes
K1.1	IP ownership clarity: Does the contract clearly state who owns our data, outputs, vendor tools, and any joint artefacts?					
K1.2	Confidentiality/trade secrets: Are trade secret and confidentiality protections clearly defined?					
K2.1	Liability/indemnity clarity: Are liability and indemnification terms clear and understood (no hidden risk transfer)?					
K2.2	Customer indemnities: Do we avoid broad customer indemnities that make us responsible for vendor failures?					
K2.3	Liability caps: Do caps/exclusions avoid gutting remedies for incidents, confidentiality breaches, and security failures?					
K3.1	Support model/offshore access: If offshore access exists, is it restricted, approved, and auditable?					
K4.1	Exit & deletion proof: Are exit steps defined (export support + deletion proof, including backups) with timelines/costs?					